

PSO RFP Questions and Responses (Last Updated 12-12-2025)

1. Please send a copy of PSO's form CA.
 - a. AEP will distribute PSO's form Confidentiality Agreement (CA), upon request, via email after holding the Technical Bidder Conference on December 10th.
2. Please send information regarding the technical bidder conference.
 - a. To attend the Technical Bidder Conference, please send an email to PSO2026RFP@aep.com with subject line PSO TECHNICAL BIDDER CONFERENCE, and include names, titles, and emails of attendees. We will send additional communication and an invite in the following weeks.
3. Does a standalone Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) rated at 15 MW × 4 hours = 60 MWh, with an optional higher-capacity (6-hour / 90 MWh) configuration meet the Eligibility and Threshold requirements?
 - a. Per Section 8.1 of the RFP, Projects must have a minimum nameplate rating of 50 **MW**ac. A 15 **MW** x 4-hour battery (60 **MWh energy capacity**) does not meet the minimum requirement for nameplate capacity.
4. Following a 100% equity transfer of project ownership through a PSA, Bidder proposes to continue as a service, commissioning, and performance-optimization partner under a separate agreement for operational and advisory support. Please confirm that such an arrangement is consistent with the intent of the RFP and PSA provisions.
 - a. Per Section 4.3 of the RFP, Proposals must not be contingent upon awarding an Operations and Maintenance Agreement. If execution of this PSA is contingent upon awarding of the operational and advisory support agreement, the Proposal will not qualify.

5. Please confirm that a new BESS facility co-located at an existing PSO-interconnected generation site will qualify as a standalone BESS project if it is independently metered and operated as a distinct SPP resource within PSO's transmission footprint.
 - a. If the co-located resource associated with the contemplated BESS project is not included in the Proposal, the proposed resource (BESS, in this instance) must maintain full operational independence and cannot rely on or be subordinate to the co-located resource's interconnection capacity or dispatch priority. Assuming these criteria are fully met, the BESS facility may qualify.
6. The interconnection requirements listed in 3.8.2 of the RFP state that a project must either be in the ERAS cluster, or the 2023 or earlier DISIS clusters to participate in the RFP. Does this mean that projects in SPP's Surplus cluster will not be eligible?
 - a. Per Section 6.5 of the RFP, projects with existing interconnection rights are eligible to participate; However, projects in SPP's Surplus queue are only eligible if certain criteria are met.
 - b. If the co-located resource associated with the contemplated surplus project is not included in the Proposal, the surplus resource must maintain full operational independence. Such resources cannot rely on or be subordinate to the co-located resources interconnection capacity or dispatch priority.
7. In order to request the NDA over email, I understand that PSO requires evidence of full site control. Since the site control documents have confidential details, can I submit the redacted versions to PSO prior to the execution of the NDA?
 - a. Bidders are asked to provide written confirmation of site control, via email, along with providing the SPP GEN Interconnection Number. Bidders are not required to submit copies of site control documents prior to executing the Confidentiality Agreement (CA).

8. Given the targeted COD of 11/30/29, why are proposals limited to ERAS and 2023 or earlier SPP queue clusters? 2024 cluster projects should have no trouble meeting the COD target.
 - a. While the COD target of November 2029 may be achievable for 2024 SPP queue cluster projects, the uncertainty around interconnection timing and costs creates risks for meeting the COD deadline. To ensure fair evaluation and reliable delivery, we are focusing on clusters with more established cost estimates relative to this RFP's COD deadline.
9. Is the requirement that projects be in OK firm, even if they are interconnected to SPP?
 - a. Yes, Projects are required to be located in Oklahoma for this RFP.
10. Can you clarify the regulatory timeline, and the estimated 13 months length for full regulatory approval? Can this be expedited?
 - a. Oklahoma's preapproval filing approval process is closer to 8-9 months. Added time is reflected in our schedules to achieve notice to proceed conditions. PSO will explore expediting the process but will be limited by regulatory rules.
11. Is there a minimum duration requirement for standalone battery storage projects? Is there a preferred duration?
 - a. PSO is seeking 4-6 hour battery storage projects.

12. At the time of intent to bid, do developers need to submit the Confidentiality Agreement, queue positions of all projects expected to bid, and proof of site control?

a. In order to receive PSO's Confidentiality Agreement form, the following must be provided via email:

- Confirmation of Site Control per RFP Section 3.7.4
- Supporting documentation of your prior experience in project development within the U.S. or Canada (RFP 8.1.10)
- Confirmation of the project's SPP Queue position (RFP 3.8.2; 3.8.3; 8.1.5)

CAs must be executed in order to access confidential appendices in the Final RFP.

13. Are resources with longer lead time of 2030 and 2031 CODs eligible to participate in the RFP?

a. No, this RFP requires Projects to reach Commercial Operation by November 30, 2029.

14. Can you please share how the All-Source RFP is different from the Capacity RFP with bid due date of December 5, 2025, in the context of Deliverable Capacity Supply Agreement from a BESS? Is the selection in All Source RFP contingent upon the outcome of the Capacity RFP?

a. The short term joint SWEPCO/PSO capacity RFP is for very near-term capacity only, whereas the all-source RFP we're discussing today is for longer term resources and a later availability window.

15. Does PSO intend to offer another RFP for out-of-Oklahoma SPP resource?

a. Future RFP solicitations and requirements will be discussed at a later time.

16. If there is an existing NDA with AEP, is an additional NDA with PSO needed?

- a. Yes, even if there is an existing NDA with AEP, the subject matter under the Form CA has specific applicability to the PSO 2026 RFP that all Bidders are required to execute.

17. If a project is not included in our initial intent to bid, can we still submit that project?

- a. If certain project configurations are not included in the initial Intent to Bid, bidders may still submit them by the Proposal Due Date of March 16, 2025. For administrative efficiency and to streamline the evaluation process, PSO prefers that all bid configurations be provided by the Intent to Bid Date.

18. Would PSO value de-risked projects in the evaluation process?

- a. PSO's project evaluation is outlined within Section 8 of the RFP.